Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/000,067	KITAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thu Khanh T. Nguyen	1722
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>After Final</u>
(1) <u>Thu Khanh T. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ellen Marcie Emas.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 14 July 2005	Time: <u>8:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No. 1	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1-14, 20-35, 38, 40 and 65		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the applicat of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief section. 	tion. The examiner will provide parate record of the substance	of the interview, since the interview
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A)	pplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner has proposed to cancel the non-elected claims to put the case in condition for allowance. Claims 38 and 40 have been rejoined and allowed as the generic claim 15 is allowed. The attorney has agreed that the claims will be cancelled by examiner amendment.